

Pillsbury Wi...

News, cases, companies, fi 

Try our [Advanced Search](#) for more refined results

News, cases, companies, fi

 [Toggle Dropdown](#)

- [Search Law360](#)
- [Search News Only](#)
- [Search Cases Only](#)
- [Search PTAB Only](#)
- [Search TTAB Only](#)
- [Advanced Search](#)

[Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pit...](#)

- [My Account](#)
- [Emails & Alerts](#)
- [Newsletter Signup](#)

[Close](#)

- [Law360](#)
- [Law360 UK](#)
- [Law360 Pulse](#)
- [Law360 Employment Authority](#)
- [Law360 Tax Authority](#)
- [Law360 Insurance Authority](#)
- [Law360 Real Estate Authority](#)
- [Law360 Healthcare Authority](#)
- [Law360 Bankruptcy Authority](#)
- [Products](#)
- [Lexis®](#)
- [Law360 In-Depth](#)
- [Law360 Podcasts](#)
- [Rankings](#)
- [Leaderboard Analytics](#)
- [Regional Powerhouses](#)
- [Law360's MVPs](#)
- [Women in Law Report](#)
- [Law360 400](#)

- [Diversity Snapshot](#)
- [Practice Groups of the Year](#)
- [Rising Stars](#)
- [Titans of the Plaintiffs Bar](#)

- [Sections](#)
- [Adv. Search & Platform Tools](#)
- **[About all sections](#)**
- **[Browse all sections](#)**
- [Banking](#)
- [Bankruptcy](#)
- [Class Action](#)
- [Competition](#)
- [Employment](#)
- [Energy](#)
- [Expert Analysis](#)
- [Insurance](#)
- [Intellectual Property](#)
- [Product Liability](#)
- [Securities](#)

- [Beta Tools](#)
- [Track docs](#)
- [Track attorneys](#)
- [Track judges](#)

- [Site Menu](#)
- [Join the Law360 team](#)
- [Search legal jobs](#)
- [Learn more about Law360](#)
- [Read testimonials](#)
- [Contact Law360](#)
- [Sign up for our newsletters](#)
- [Law360 Company](#)
- [About Law360 Authority](#)
- [Resource Library](#)
- [Site Map](#)
- [Help](#)

[Intellectual Property](#) [Securities](#) [Bankruptcy](#) [Competition](#) [Employment](#) [White Collar](#) [Legal Industry](#) [Access To Justice](#) [Law360 UK](#) [Pulse](#) || [See all sections](#) || [NEWSLETTERS](#)

How is AI changing the way you work?
[Click here to take the Law360 survey](#)

Squires Issues 57 More PTAB Denials,

Broken Out By Type

By *Ryan Davis and Theresa Schliep* · [Listen to article](#)

Law360 (November 21, 2025, 8:23 PM EST) -- [U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Director John Squires](#) has rejected another 57 petitions challenging patents under the America Invents Act and for the first time differentiated between those denied for discretionary reasons and those denied on the merits.

The director issued a [two-page order](#) Thursday stating that he was denying 56 petitions filed with the [Patent Trial and Appeal Board](#), which he listed only by case number, "after review of discretionary considerations." The order also said he was denying one other petition after reviewing the merits of the invalidity challenge.

Squires has denied every petition he has considered since he took over the job of deciding whether to institute patent reviews last month. Petitioners have gone 0 for 91 in his decisions.

In Thursday's order, Squires also said that after reviewing discretionary issues in another 31 cases, he had decided to move on to considering whether review of the patents is warranted based on the merits of the challenge and other nondiscretionary considerations. His decisions in those cases will be issued later.

Squires' order does not detail the discretionary issues he considered, which in previous cases have included whether a related infringement trial would end before a final decision would be due, or whether a patent owner has "settled expectations" that a patent would not be challenged. The order also does not provide any information about the facts of the case where the petition was denied on the merits.

However, by breaking out the decisions by denials based on discretion and those based on the merits, the document provides somewhat more detail than the first two orders Squires issued after becoming the sole arbiter of whether inter partes reviews and post-grant reviews will be instituted.

The previous orders, one [denying 13 petitions](#) on Oct. 31 and another [denying 21 more](#) on Nov. 6, simply stated that review was denied, without elaboration. On Thursday, Squires issued amended versions of both of those orders, and they now state that all the denials were due to discretionary considerations.

The amended orders also now include a list of cases in which Squires considered the discretionary issues, and then decided to move on to considering the merits in future decisions. There are [nine such cases](#) in the revised Oct. 31 order, including the one denied on the merits Thursday, and [seven of them](#) in the revised Nov. 6 order.

In the case denied on the merits Thursday, [Belden Inc.](#) challenged a patent owned by [Commscope Technologies LLC](#) patent, but Squires wrote that "the petitioner has failed to show a reasonable likelihood of prevailing with respect to at least one of the claims challenged in the petition."

The 56 petitions Squires denied for discretionary reasons Thursday included ones in which [Snap Inc.](#) and [Asustek Computer Inc.](#) separately challenged patents owned by [Nokia Technologies Oy](#), [Apple Inc.](#) targeted patents owned by both CText IP LLC and Telecom Ventures LLC in unrelated cases, and [Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd.](#) sought review of [W&WSens Devices Inc.](#) patents. A petition by [Fresenius Kabi](#) on a [Regeneron Pharmaceuticals Inc.](#) patent also was unsuccessful.

Other notable companies that had their petitions discretionarily denied Thursday included [Dell Technologies Inc.](#), Taiwan Semiconductor Mfg. Co. Ltd., Capital One NA, [Red Hat Inc.](#), [Pinterest Inc.](#) and [Meta Platforms](#)

Inc.

In a separate order, Deputy USPTO Director Coke Morgan Stewart issued discretionary denials of three petitions filed by IBM Corp. challenging Security First Innovations LLC patents, noting that Squires was recused.

Among the cases where Squires said Thursday that he had considered discretionary issues and decided to now review the petitions on the merits are two where Ford Motor Co. is challenging patents owned by Autoconnect Holdings LLC. The director said he would issue separate opinions detailing those decisions, but they had not been docketed Friday.

Other notable cases that Squires said Thursday he would consider on the merits after reviewing discretionary issues include three petitions brought by Apple contesting patents owned by Vampire Labs LLC and Telcom Ventures LLC, as well as a petition filed by Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Co. Ltd. against a Marlin Semiconductor patent.

The revised versions of Squires' two previous orders now state that he will be considering the merits of a total of 16 petitions. Those include challenges brought by Harbor Freight Tools USA Inc. against Champion Power Equipment Inc. patents and Evenflo Co. Inc.'s challenges to Baby Jogger LLC patents.

Decisions on whether to institute review of patents had previously been made by PTAB judges. They often issued opinions that ran for dozens of pages and historically instituted review in about two-thirds of cases, while Squires issues summary determinations and currently has a 100% denial rate. The board's judges will now only make final decisions on the validity of the patent if Squires decides to institute review.

The explanations Thursday of whether the denials were discretionary or on the merits mark a change from how USPTO officials said last month that Squires' review of patent challenges would work.

At an Oct. 29 webinar, acting Chief PTAB Judge Kalyan K. Deshpande said Squires only planned to issue yes-or-no decisions and would not "separate denials based on whether it was a discretionary denial versus merits-based denial."

Judge Deshpande said at the webinar that the first several orders from the director would mostly consist of denials, since discretionary issues take less time to address, and an evaluation of the merits will take longer.

He said that by the end of November, "there will be notices that include both institutions and denials."

--Editing by Janice Carter Brown.

For a reprint of this article, please contact reprints@law360.com.

0 Comments

Sign In To Comment

Attached Documents

- Nov. 20 Order
- Original Oct. 31 Order
- Revised Oct. 31 Order

- [Original Nov. 6 Order](#)
- [Revised Nov. 6 Order](#)
- [Nov. 20 Stewart Order](#)

Useful Tools & Links

- [Add to Briefcase](#)
- [Save to PDF & Print](#)
- [Rights/Reprints](#)
- [Editorial Contacts](#)

Related Sections

- [Banking](#)
- [Intellectual Property](#)
- [Life Sciences](#)
- [Retail & E-Commerce](#)
- [Technology](#)
- [Transportation](#)

PTAB Case Information

Case Title

[Baby Generation, Inc. d/b/a Mockingbird et al. Inter Partes Review](#)

Case Number

[IPR2025-01095](#)

Date Filed

June 06, 2025

Case Title

[Baby Generation, Inc., d/b/a Mockingbird et al. Inter Partes Review](#)

Case Number

[IPR2025-01100](#)

Date Filed

June 06, 2025

Case Title

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01117

Date Filed

June 06, 2025

Case Title

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01118

Date Filed

June 06, 2025

Case Title

Belden Inc. et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01119

Date Filed

June 10, 2025

Case Title

United Therapeutics Corporation Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01139

Date Filed

June 10, 2025

Case Title

Caihong Display Devices Co., Ltd. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01137

Date Filed

June 11, 2025

Case Title

ProAmpac Holdings Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01143

Date Filed

June 11, 2025

Case Title

Solventum Corporation Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01042

Date Filed

June 13, 2025

Case Title

Baby Generation, Inc. d/b/a Mockingbird et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01120

Date Filed

June 13, 2025

Case Title

Google LLC Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01157

Date Filed

June 13, 2025

Case Title

Almendra Pte. Ltd. et al. Post grant review

Case Number

PGR2025-00055

Date Filed

June 16, 2025

Case Title

ASUSTeK Computer Inc. et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01153

Date Filed

June 16, 2025

Case Title

Evenflo Company, Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01122

Date Filed

June 16, 2025

Case Title

AT&T Services, Inc. et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01166

Date Filed

June 16, 2025

Case Title

Snap, Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01114

Date Filed

June 16, 2025

Case Title

[Amazon.com, Services LLC Inter Partes Review](#)

Case Number

[IPR2025-01167](#)

Date Filed

June 16, 2025

Case Title

[ASUSTeK Computer Inc. et al. Inter Partes Review](#)

Case Number

[IPR2025-01154](#)

Date Filed

June 17, 2025

Case Title

[Google LLC Inter Partes Review](#)

Case Number

[IPR2025-01161](#)

Date Filed

June 17, 2025

Case Title

GENERAC POWER SYSTEMS, INC. et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01099

Date Filed

June 17, 2025

Case Title

Evenflo Company, Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01140

Date Filed

June 17, 2025

Case Title

Apple Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01141

Date Filed

June 17, 2025

Case Title

Aerin Medical Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01124

Date Filed

June 19, 2025

Case Title

Monahan Products, LLC (dba UPPAbaby) et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01105

Date Filed

June 20, 2025

Case Title

Monahan Products, LLC (dba UPPAbaby) et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01106

Date Filed

June 20, 2025

Case Title

LiveIntent, Inc. et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01160

Date Filed

June 20, 2025

Case Title

Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-00994

Date Filed

June 20, 2025

Case Title

Infineon Technologies Americas Corp. et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01171

Date Filed

June 20, 2025

Case Title

Conjupro Biotherapeutics, Inc. et al. Post grant review

Case Number

PGR2025-00057

Date Filed

June 20, 2025

Case Title

Apple Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01148

Date Filed

June 20, 2025

Case Title

Apple Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01151

Date Filed

June 20, 2025

Case Title

Apple Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01152

Date Filed

June 20, 2025

Case Title

Viant Technology LLC et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01163

Date Filed

June 20, 2025

Case Title

Microsoft Corporation et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01142

Date Filed

June 20, 2025

Case Title

Harbor Freight Tools USA, Inc. et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01121

Date Filed

June 23, 2025

Case Title

Snap Inc. et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01014

Date Filed

June 23, 2025

Case Title

Apple Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01146

Date Filed

June 24, 2025

Case Title

Apple Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01145

Date Filed

June 24, 2025

Case Title

Apple Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01149

Date Filed

June 24, 2025

Case Title

Apple Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01150

Date Filed

June 24, 2025

Case Title

Aerin Medical Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01125

Date Filed

June 24, 2025

Case Title

Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01165

Date Filed

June 24, 2025

Case Title

American Fuji Seal, Inc. et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01176

Date Filed

June 25, 2025

Case Title

Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-00993

Date Filed

June 25, 2025

Case Title

Aerin Medical Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01126

Date Filed

June 25, 2025

Case Title

Sarepta Therapeutics, Inc. et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01194

Date Filed

June 26, 2025

Case Title

Sarepta Therapeutics, Inc. et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01195

Date Filed

June 26, 2025

Case Title

Aerin Medical Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01127

Date Filed

June 27, 2025

Case Title

CentralSquare Technologies, LLC Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01179

Date Filed

June 27, 2025

Case Title

Apple Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01147

Date Filed

June 27, 2025

Case Title

Apple Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01215

Date Filed

June 27, 2025

Case Title

Apple Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01221

Date Filed

June 30, 2025

Case Title

Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01164

Date Filed

June 30, 2025

Case Title

SCIENTIFIC DRILLING INTERNATIONAL, INC. Post grant review

Case Number

PGR2025-00061

Date Filed

July 01, 2025

Case Title

Amazon.com Services LLC Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01240

Date Filed

July 01, 2025

Case Title

Safe Arc Technology, LLC Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01214

Date Filed

July 02, 2025

Case Title

Topsoe, Inc. et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01173

Date Filed

July 02, 2025

Case Title

Topsoe, Inc. et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01174

Date Filed

July 02, 2025

Case Title

North Sails Group, LLC Post grant review

Case Number

PGR2025-00062

Date Filed

July 03, 2025

Case Title

Aesthetic Management Partners, LLC et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01169

Date Filed

July 03, 2025

Case Title

Apple Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01112

Date Filed

July 07, 2025

Case Title

Apple Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01113

Date Filed

July 07, 2025

Case Title

Aesthetic Management Partners, LLC et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01217

Date Filed

July 07, 2025

Case Title

Unimicron Technology Corp. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01247

Date Filed

July 08, 2025

Case Title

Unimicron Technology Corp. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01244

Date Filed

July 08, 2025

Case Title

3D Systems Corporation et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01241

Date Filed

July 08, 2025

Case Title

Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company Limited Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01265

Date Filed

July 08, 2025

Case Title

Imperative Care, Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01264

Date Filed

July 08, 2025

Case Title

REVELYST SALES LLC et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01029

Date Filed

July 09, 2025

Case Title

REVELYST SALES LLC et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01033

Date Filed

July 09, 2025

Case Title

REVELYST SALES LLC et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01032

Date Filed

July 09, 2025

Case Title

REVELYST SALES LLC et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01031

Date Filed

July 09, 2025

Case Title

REVELYST SALES LLC et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01030

Date Filed

July 09, 2025

Case Title

TankLogix, LLC Post grant review

Case Number

PGR2025-00060

Date Filed

July 10, 2025

Case Title

BOE Technology Group Co., Ltd. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01245

Date Filed

July 10, 2025

Case Title

BOE Technology Group Co., Ltd. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01246

Date Filed

July 10, 2025

Case Title

Google LLC Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01278

Date Filed

July 11, 2025

Case Title

Google LLC Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01277

Date Filed

July 11, 2025

Case Title

Pinterest, Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01184

Date Filed

July 11, 2025

Case Title

Generac Power Systems, Inc. et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01228

Date Filed

July 11, 2025

Case Title

Fresenius Kabi SwissBioSim GmbH et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01268

Date Filed

July 14, 2025

Case Title

Fresenius Kabi SwissBiosim, GmbH et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01269

Date Filed

July 14, 2025

Case Title

Dell Technologies Inc. et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01287

Date Filed

July 14, 2025

Case Title

Niantic, Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01276

Date Filed

July 14, 2025

Case Title

Niantic, Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01274

Date Filed

July 14, 2025

Case Title

Dell Technologies Inc. et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01285

Date Filed

July 14, 2025

Case Title

Niantic, Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01275

Date Filed

July 14, 2025

Case Title

Dell Technologies Inc. et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01288

Date Filed

July 14, 2025

Case Title

Dell Technologies Inc. et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01284

Date Filed

July 14, 2025

Case Title

Niantic, Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01273

Date Filed

July 14, 2025

Case Title

Dell Technologies Inc. et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01286

Date Filed

July 14, 2025

Case Title

Arla Foods amba Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01199

Date Filed

July 15, 2025

Case Title

Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company Ltd. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01210

Date Filed

July 15, 2025

Case Title

Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company Ltd. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01302

Date Filed

July 15, 2025

Case Title

TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING COMPANY LTD. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01305

Date Filed

July 15, 2025

Case Title

ASUSTeK Computer Inc. et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01279

Date Filed

July 16, 2025

Case Title

Cytek Biosciences, Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01319

Date Filed

July 17, 2025

Case Title

Election Systems & Software, LLC Post grant review

Case Number

PGR2025-00066

Date Filed

July 17, 2025

Case Title

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01322

Date Filed

July 18, 2025

Case Title

JinkoSolar Holding Co., Ltd. et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01130

Date Filed

July 18, 2025

Case Title

Capital One, N.A. et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01325

Date Filed

July 18, 2025

Case Title

3D Systems Corporation et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01242

Date Filed

July 18, 2025

Case Title

LiveIntent, Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01317

Date Filed

July 18, 2025

Case Title

LiveIntent, Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01318

Date Filed

July 18, 2025

Case Title

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01323

Date Filed

July 18, 2025

Case Title

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01324

Date Filed

July 18, 2025

Case Title

Jesco Lighting Group, LLC Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01328

Date Filed

July 19, 2025

Case Title

MWE Equipment Sales, LLC et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01185

Date Filed

July 22, 2025

Case Title

FRESH PRODUCTS, LLC Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01339

Date Filed

July 23, 2025

Case Title

SNAP INC. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01338

Date Filed

July 23, 2025

Case Title

Snap Inc. et al. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01263

Date Filed

July 23, 2025

Case Title

Ford Motor Company Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01342

Date Filed

July 24, 2025

Case Title

Meta Platforms, Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01332

Date Filed

July 25, 2025

Case Title

Meta Platforms, Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01333

Date Filed

July 25, 2025

Case Title

Guardant Health, Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01353

Date Filed

July 25, 2025

Case Title

Guardant Health, Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01355

Date Filed

July 25, 2025

Case Title

Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company Ltd. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01212

Date Filed

July 25, 2025

Case Title

Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, Ltd. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01211

Date Filed

July 25, 2025

Case Title

BOE TECHNOLOGY GROUP CO., LTD. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01331

Date Filed

July 28, 2025

Case Title

BOE TECHNOLOGY GROUP CO., LTD. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01330

Date Filed

July 28, 2025

Case Title

Zesty.ai, Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01357

Date Filed

July 30, 2025

Case Title

Zesty.ai, Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01359

Date Filed

July 30, 2025

Case Title

Zesty.ai, Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01360

Date Filed

July 30, 2025

Case Title

Zesty.ai, Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01358

Date Filed

July 30, 2025

Case Title

FRESH PRODUCTS, LLC Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01366

Date Filed

July 31, 2025

Case Title

Red Hat, Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01371

Date Filed

August 01, 2025

Case Title

Red Hat, Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01370

Date Filed

August 01, 2025

Case Title

Red Hat, Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01373

Date Filed

August 04, 2025

Case Title

Red Hat, Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01372

Date Filed

August 04, 2025

Case Title

Apple Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01235

Date Filed

August 05, 2025

Case Title

Apple Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01234

Date Filed

August 05, 2025

Case Title

Apple Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01237

Date Filed

August 05, 2025

Case Title

Apple Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01238

Date Filed

August 05, 2025

Case Title

Red Hat, Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01382

Date Filed

August 05, 2025

Case Title

Red Hat, Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01381

Date Filed

August 05, 2025

Case Title

Red Hat, Inc. Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01380

Date Filed

August 07, 2025

Case Title

Ford Motor Company Inter Partes Review

Case Number

IPR2025-01383

Date Filed

August 14, 2025

Companies

- Apple Inc.
- ASUSTeK Computer Inc.
- Belden Inc.
- CommScope Inc.
- Dell Technologies Inc.
- Evenflo Co. Inc.
- Ford Motor Co.
- Fresenius Kabi AG
- Harbor Freight Tools USA Inc.
- International Business Machines Corp.
- Meta Platforms Inc.
- Nokia Corp.
- Pinterest Inc.
- Red Hat Inc.
- Regeneron Pharmaceuticals Inc.
- Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd.
- Snap Inc.
- Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Co. Ltd.

Government Agencies

- Patent Trial and Appeal Board
- U.S. Patent and Trademark Office



Law360 MVP Awards Go To Top Attorneys From 76 Firms

The attorneys chosen as Law360's 2025 MVPs have distinguished themselves from their peers by securing significant achievements in high-stakes litigation, complex global matters and record-breaking deals.

Top 10 trending in Life Sciences

- [1PBMs Say Gov't Benefits From Drug Rebates FTC Condemns](#)
- [2'No Evidence' New Info Backs J&J Unit's Libel Suit, Court Told](#)
- [3DC Judge Axes Teva Challenge To Drug Price Program](#)
- [4Latham DQ'd From Sleep Apnea Device Co.'s Patent Fight](#)
- [5In-House Atty From Teva Joins Spencer Fane's DC Office](#)
- [6Pharma Cos. Seek Early Win In States' Price-Fixing Lawsuit](#)
- [73 Takeaways From FDA Cell And Gene Therapy Draft Guidance](#)
- [8DLA Piper Adds Fenwick Emerging Growth, VC Expert In LA](#)
- [9Whoop Blood Pressure Tracker Hit With False Ad Suit](#)
- [10Alkermes, Avadel Bump Deal To \\$2.37B After Alternate Bid](#)

© 2025, Portfolio Media, Inc. | [About](#) | [Contact Us](#) | [Careers at Law360](#) | [Terms](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Trust Center](#) | [Cookie Settings](#) | [Processing Notice](#) | [Ad Choices](#) | [Help](#) | [Site Map](#) | [Resource Library](#) | [Law360 Company](#) | [Testimonials](#)



Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman users

[Click Here to Sign In](#)



Sign up for our Life Sciences newsletter

You must correct or enter the following before you can sign up:

Please provide a professional email:

[Select more newsletters to receive for free](#)

Law360 takes your privacy seriously. Please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

No Thanks

[Sign up now](#)

Thank You!